

11/14/00
Jc915 U.S. PTO

11-15-00

A

PTO/SB/05 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box ☒
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

(Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 CFR 1.53(b))

Attorney Docket No. YOR9-2000-0616US1 (8728-425)
First Inventor DEGENARO
Title METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CACHING...
Express Mail Label No. EL599449745US

APPLICATION ELEMENTS

See MPEP chapter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.

- ☒ Fee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)
(Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing)
- ☐ Applicant claims small entity status.
See 37 CFR 1.27.
- ☒ Specification [Total Pages 50]
(preferred arrangement set forth below)
 - Descriptive title of the invention
 - Cross Reference to Related Applications
 - Statement Regarding Fed sponsored R & D
 - Reference to sequence listing, a table, or a computer program listing appendix
 - Background of the Invention
 - Brief Summary of the Invention
 - Brief Description of the Drawings (if filed)
 - Detailed Description
 - Claim(s)
 - Abstract of the Disclosure
- ☒ Drawing(s) (35 U.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 17]
- Oath or Declaration [Total Pages 2]
 - ☒ Newly executed (original or copy)
 - ☐ Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63 (d))
(for continuation/divisional with Box 17 completed)
 - ☐ **DELETION OF INVENTOR(S)**
Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 CFR 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b).
- ☐ Application Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76

ADDRESS TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Box Patent Application
Washington, DC 20231

- ☐ CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, large table or Computer Program (Appendix)
- Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary)
 - ☐ Computer Readable Form (CRF)
 - Specification Sequence Listing on:
 - ☐ CD-ROM or CD-R (2 copies); or
 - ☐ paper
 - ☐ Statements verifying identity of above copies

ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS

- ☒ Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s))
- ☐ 37 CFR 3.73(b) Statement (when there is an assignee) ☒ Power of Attorney
- ☐ English Translation Document (if applicable)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 ☒ Copies of IDS Citations
- ☐ Preliminary Amendment
- ☒ Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503) (Should be specifically itemized)
- ☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) (if foreign priority is claimed)
- ☒ Other: ASSOCIATE POWER OF ATTORNEY

17. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment, or in an Application Data Sheet under 37 CFR 1.76:

☐ Continuation ☐ Divisional ☐ Continuation-in-part (CIP) of prior application No. _____ / _____
Prior application information: Examiner _____ Group / Art Unit: _____

For CONTINUATION OR DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 5b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference. The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.

18. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS

☐ Customer Number or Bar Code Label (Insert Customer No. or Attach bar code label here) or ☐ Correspondence address below

Name Frank Chau, Esq.
Address F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP
1900 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 501
City East Meadow State New York Zip Code 11554
Country USA Telephone 516-357-0091 Fax 516-357-0092

Name (Print/Type) Gaspare J. Randazzo Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 41,528
Signature *Gaspare J. Randazzo* Date 11/14/2000

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

Jc929 U.S. PTO
09/712542
11/14/00

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
Sir:

ATTORNEY DOCKET: YOR9-2000-0616US1 (8728-425)
Date: November 14, 2000
Express Mail Label: EL599449745US
Date of Deposit: November 14, 2000

Transmitted herewith for filing is the Patent Application of:

Inventors: Louis R. Degenaro, Arun K. Iyengar, Thomas A. Mikalsen, Isabelle M. Rouvellou

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CACHING ACROSS MULTIPLE CONTENTS

Enclosed are: [X] 42 sheets of specification; [X] 1 sheet(s) of Abstract; [X] 7 sheet(s) of claims; [X] 17 sheet(s) of drawing(s);

[X] An assignment of the invention to International Business Machines Corporation with Recordation Form.

[X] Declaration and Power of Attorney.

[] A certified copy of a _____ application, from which priority under Title 35 USC §119 is claimed.

[X] Associate Power of Attorney.

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

		(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
FOR:		NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE					\$710.00
TOTAL CLAIMS		23 -20 =	3	X \$18 =	54.00
INDEP CLAIMS		4 -3 =	1	X \$80 =	80.00
				+ 270 =	
				TOTAL	\$ 844.00

If the difference in Col. 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in Col. 2.

[] A check in the amount of \$_____ to cover the [] filing fee(s), [] recording fee is enclosed.

[X] Please charge my Deposit Account No. 50-0510/IBM (Yorktown Heights) in the amount of \$844.00.

[X] The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0510/IBM (Yorktown Heights). A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

[X] Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16.

[X] Any patent application processing fees under 35 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Gaspare J. Randazzo
Gaspare J. Randazzo
Registration No. 41,528

**Please address all
correspondence to:**

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP
1900 Hempstead Tpke., Suite 501
East Meadow, NY 11554
Tel: (516) 357-0091
Fax: (516) 357-0092

Attorney for:

IBM Corporation
Intellectual Property Law Dept.
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.10

I hereby certify that this Application transmittal and documents referred to as enclosed are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date November 14, 2000 in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label Number EL599449745US addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Gaspare J. Randazzo
Gaspare J. Randazzo



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**FEE TRANSMITTAL
for FY 2001**

Patent fees are subject to annual revision

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$): 884.00

Complete if Known

Application Number	
Filing Date	November 14, 2000
First Named Inventor	Louis R. Degenaro
Examiner Name	
Group Art Unit	
Attorney Docket No.	YOR9-2000-0616US1 (8728-425)

METHOD OF PAYMENT

- 1.
- ☒
- The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any overpayments to:

Deposit Account Number	50-0510/IBM
Deposit Account Name	IBM/Yorktown Heights

- ☒
- Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17

☐ Applicant claims small entity status See 37 CFR 1.27

- 2.
- ☐
- Payment Enclosed:

☐ Check ☐ Credit card ☐ Money Order ☐ Other**FEE CALCULATION****1. BASIC FILING FEE**

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
101	710	201	355	Utility filing fee	710
106	320	206	160	Design filing fee	
107	490	207	245	Plant filing fee	
108	710	208	355	Reissue filing fee	
114	150	214	75	Provisional filing fee	

SUBTOTAL (1) (\$): 710.00

2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES

Total Claims	Extra Claims	Fee from below	Fee Paid
23	-20** = 3	18	54
4	-3** = 1	80	80
Multiple Dependent			270

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
103	18	203	9	Claims in excess of 20	
102	80	202	40	Independent claims in excess of 3	
104	270	204	135	Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	
109	80	209	40	** Reissue independent claims over original patent	
110	18	210	9	** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	

SUBTOTAL (2) (\$): 134.00

**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

FEE CALCULATION (continued)**3. ADDITIONAL FEES**

Large Entity		Small Entity		Fee Description	Fee Paid
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)		
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification	
147	2,520	147	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
112	920*	112	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
113	1,840*	113	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
115	110	215	55	Extension for reply within first month	
116	390	216	195	Extension for reply within second month	
117	890	217	445	Extension for reply within third month	
118	1,390	218	695	Extension for reply within fourth month	
128	1,890	228	945	Extension for reply within fifth month	
119	310	219	155	Notice of Appeal	
120	310	220	155	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
121	270	221	135	Request for oral hearing	
138	1,510	138	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
141	1,240	241	620	Petition to revive - unintentional	
142	1,240	242	620	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
143	440	243	220	Design issue fee	
144	600	244	300	Plant issue fee	
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications	
126	240	126	240	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40.00
146	710	246	355	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
149	710	249	355	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))	
179	710	279	355	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
169	900	169	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	

Other fee (specify) _____

*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

SUBTOTAL (3) (\$): 40.00

SUBMITTED BY

Complete (if applicable)

Name (Print/Type)	Gaspare J. Randazzo	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	41,528	Telephone	(516) 357-0091
Signature	<i>Gaspare J. Randazzo</i>	Date	11/14/2000		

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

jc829 U.S. PTO

09/712542

11/14/00

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CACHING ACROSS MULTIPLE CONTEXTS

BACKGROUND

1. Technical Field

The present invention relates generally to computer
5 systems and, in particular, to a method and system for
caching across multiple contexts in a computer system.

2. Background Description

A context is a scope in which variables and/or keys are
resolved to specific values. The contexts could represent
10 transactions. Alternatively, they could represent different
procedure invocations. Programming languages such as C,
C++, Java, and Pascal, among others, may create multiple
contexts. Two contexts might have variables of the same
name but with different values within the different
15 contexts. For example, suppose that the main body of a C
program has a variable x. The main program calls a function
foo which has a local variable x. When foo is called from
the main program, this creates a new context. The value of
x within the context of the function foo may be different
20 from the value for x within the context of the main program.
A context may also represent a transactional context. A
transaction is a series of operations applied to a set of

objects in a manner that transforms the system from one consistent state to another consistent state. Transactional contexts isolate the effects of transactions such that concurrently executing transactions do not interfere with each other; such interference, in general, leads to data inconsistencies.

Accordingly, it would be desirable and highly advantageous to have a method and system for caching across multiple contexts in a computer system. It would also be desirable and highly advantageous for such a method and system to maintain data consistency.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The problems stated above, as well as other related problems of the prior art, are solved by the present invention, a method and system for caching across multiple contexts in a computer system.

According to a first aspect of the invention, in a system that includes a plurality of objects and at least one cache, wherein each object has a key associated therewith and is capable of having different values for at least two of a plurality of different contexts, a method for caching at least some of the plurality of objects is provided. The method includes the step of maintaining a cache directory

structure in which at least two different values are capable of being associated with at least one of the plurality of objects, each of the at least two different values corresponding to a different context. An object to be
5 cached is identified from among the plurality of objects and also a context from among the plurality of different contexts. The identified object is stored in the at least one cache based upon the key associated therewith and the identified context.

10 According to a second aspect of the invention, the at least one cache maintains at least a portion of a data structure for storing multiple versions of the identified object and said storing step further includes the step of identifying the at least a portion of the data structure
15 from the key associated with the identified object.

According to a third aspect of the invention, the storing step further includes the steps of generating a composite index from the key associated with the identified object and the identified context, and storing the
20 identified object based upon the composite index.

According to a fourth aspect of the invention, the method further includes the step of looking up a given object from among the plurality of objects based upon a key

associated with the given object and one of the plurality of different contexts.

According to a fifth aspect of the invention, the method further comprises the step of deleting a cached
5 object based upon a key associated with the cached object and one of the plurality of different contexts.

According to a sixth aspect of the invention, the method further includes the steps of maintaining a data structure that includes at least one key associated with at
10 least one given object from among the plurality of objects, the at least one given object being cached within one of the plurality of different contexts; and deleting the at least given object by identifying the at least one object in the data structure based upon the at least one key associated
15 therewith.

According to a seventh aspect of the invention, the method further includes the step of copying at least one given object from among the plurality of objects that is cached under one of the plurality of contexts to another one
20 of the plurality of contexts.

According to an eighth aspect of the invention, the plurality of different contexts represent transactional contexts.

According to a ninth aspect of the invention, cached objects represent query results.

According to a tenth aspect of the invention, in a system that includes at least one object capable of having a plurality of values within a plurality of different contexts, a method for propagating at least one of the plurality of values among the plurality of different contexts is provided. The method includes the step of maintaining at least one dependency between the at least one object and underlying data. The at least one of the plurality of values is identified for the at least one object within a context from among the plurality of contexts. The at least one of the plurality of values is propagated to at least one other context from among the plurality of contexts. The at least one object has identical dependencies in the context and the at least one other context.

According to an eleventh aspect of the invention, the underlying data is dependent underlying data, and at least some of the plurality of values are derived from the dependent underlying data.

According to a twelfth aspect of the invention, the underlying data is dependent underlying data, and at least

some of the plurality of values are query results derived from the dependent underlying data.

According to a thirteenth aspect of the invention, the plurality of different contexts are transactional contexts.

5 According to a fourteenth aspect of the invention, cached objects are query results and the plurality of different contexts are transactional contexts.

10 According to a fifteenth aspect of the invention, in a system that includes at least one object capable of having a plurality of values within a plurality of different contexts, wherein a value from among the plurality of values of the at least one object depends on underlying data, a method for maintaining dependencies between the at least one object and the underlying data when effects from a context among the plurality of contexts are realized within at least one other context among the plurality of contexts is provided. The method includes the step of recording at least one event that occurs with the context, wherein the at least one event is capable of affecting dependencies between the at least one object and the underlying data within the at least one other context. Within the at least one other context, changes are realized to the underlying data performed under the context. Also within the at least one

15

20

other context, the at least one event recorded within the context is replayed.

According to a sixteenth aspect of the invention, the plurality of contexts are transactional contexts and the realizing step includes the step of committing the context as a transactional context.

According to a seventeenth aspect of the invention, a computer system is provided. The computer system includes at least one client, at least one server, a cache adapted to allow multiple copies of a same object to be cached under different contexts, and a context manager adapted to manage the different contexts.

According to an eighteenth aspect of the invention, the context manager is a transaction manager.

According to a nineteenth aspect of the invention, the context manager is a transaction manager adapted to support lock-based, pessimistic concurrency control.

According to a twentieth aspect of the invention, the context manager is a transaction manager adapted to support optimistic concurrency control.

These and other aspects, features and advantages of the present invention will become apparent from the following

detailed description of preferred embodiments, which is to be read in connection with the accompanying drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a system for
5 caching across multiple contexts, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 2 is a diagram illustrating a method for storing data in a cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

10 FIG. 3 is a diagram illustrating another method for storing data in a cache, according to another illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 4 is a diagram illustrating a method for storing references to cached data organized by context, according to
15 an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for caching data, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 6 is a diagram illustrating a method for accessing
20 cached data, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 7 is a diagram illustrating a method for deleting cached data, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

5 FIG. 8 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for deleting cached objects corresponding to a specific context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating a method for caching data inherited from an enclosing context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

10 FIG. 10 is a diagram illustrating an object dependence graph, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

15 FIG. 11 is a diagram illustrating dependencies of an object in different contexts, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 12 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for propagating cached information from one context to another, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

20 FIG. 13 is a block diagram illustrating a system for caching across multiple contexts according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention, wherein contexts may represent transactional contexts and cached objects may represent query results;

FIG. 14 is a block diagram illustrating a cache where query results are cached with respect to transactional contexts and optimistic concurrency, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

5 FIG. 15 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for determining the cache context associated with a particular transactional context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

10 FIG. 16 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for caching the results of queries with respect to transactions and optimistic concurrency control, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

15 FIG. 17 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for invalidating query results with respect to transactions and optimistic concurrency control, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

20 FIG. 18 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for promoting pending cached query results to committed results and for maintaining dependencies between committed results and underlying data when a transactional context T successfully commits, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 19 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for maintaining dependencies between objects and underlying data

when the effects of one context are realized within another context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 20 is a diagram illustrating a method for
5 retrieving zero or more entities (i.e., query results) from a cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 21 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for
10 invalidating zero or more entities (query results) in a cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 22 is a block diagram illustrating a method for
committing zero or more entities (query results) in a cache,
according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention;
15 and

FIG. 23 is a block diagram illustrating a method for
rolling back one or more entities (query results) in a
cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the
invention.

20 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention is directed to a method and system for caching across multiple contexts in a computer system. It is to be understood that the present invention

may be implemented in various forms of hardware, software, firmware, special purpose processors, or a combination thereof. In one embodiment, the present invention may be implemented in software as an application program tangibly embodied on a program storage device. The application program may be uploaded to, and executed by, a machine comprising any suitable architecture. Preferably, the machine is implemented on a computer platform having hardware such as one or more central processing units (CPU), a random access memory (RAM), and input/output (I/O) interface(s). The computer platform may also include an operating system and/or micro instruction code. The various processes and functions described herein may either be part of the micro instruction code or part of the application program (or a combination thereof) which is executed via the operating system. In addition, various other peripheral devices may be connected to the computer platform such as an additional data storage device and a printing device.

It is to be further understood that, because some of the constituent system components and method steps depicted in the accompanying Figures may be implemented in software, the actual connections between the system components (or the process steps) may differ depending upon the manner in which the present invention is programmed. Given the teachings of

the present invention provided herein, one of ordinary skill in the related art will be able to contemplate these and similar implementations or configurations of the present invention.

5 A general description of the present invention will now be provided to introduce the reader to the concepts of the invention. Subsequently, more detailed descriptions of various aspects of the invention will be provided with respect to FIGs. 1 through 23.

10 FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating a system 100 for caching across multiple contexts, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. The system 100 includes a client 102, a cache 104, a server 106, a dependency manager 108, and a context manager 110.

15 The client 102 obtains data from the server 106. To reduce latency and/or overhead for obtaining data from the server 106, some data may be cached within the cache 104. Obtaining data from the cache 104 generally results in less overhead than obtaining the data from the server 106. The
20 server 106 creates multiple contexts which are managed by the context manager 110.

 The invention is applicable to several variations of the system of FIG. 1. For example, the system 100 may include more than one of any of the elements shown in FIG.

1. Moreover, the context manager 110 does not necessarily have to reside on the server 106. Further, it is possible for the cache 104 to reside on the client 102 or on the server 106. It is also possible for the client 102 to communicate directly with the server 106. Given the teachings of the invention provided herein, one of ordinary skill in the related art will contemplate these and many other variations of the system 100 of FIG. 1, while maintaining the spirit and scope thereof.

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for caching data, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. A decision is made to cache an object (step 510). Step 510 may occur, for example, when the client 102 tries to access an object in the cache 104 and the object is not contained in the cache 104. Step 510 may also occur when the server 106 prefetches an object into the cache 104.

The context corresponding to the object is determined, if the context is unknown (step 520). However, it may be the case that the context was previously determined.

The object is cached (stored) in a manner which takes both the key identifying the object and the context into consideration (step 530). This can be done in several ways. One way is illustrated in FIG. 2, which is a diagram illustrating a method for storing data in a cache, according

to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. In the example of FIG. 2, objects are cached based on their keys. For a given key, multiple values may be stored corresponding to different contexts. In the example, there are three values associated with the key. Value V1 is associated with context C1, value V2 is associated with context C2, and value V3 is associated with context C3. FIG. 2 depicts the context, value pairs as a list. However, other methods could be used for storing context, value pairs including, but not limited to, hash tables or balanced trees. Given the teachings of the invention provided herein, one of ordinary skill in the related art will contemplate these and various other ways to store objects with respect to both the key identifying the object and the context, while maintaining the spirit and scope of the invention.

FIG. 3 is a diagram illustrating another method for storing data in a cache, according to another illustrative embodiment of the invention; In the example of FIG. 3, a composite index is composed by combining the key and context into a single entity. That is, the 3 different cached values for "key" under the 3 different contexts are stored as different entities under different composite indices. Look up is performed using the composite index. Given the teachings of the invention provided herein, one of ordinary

skill in the related art will contemplate these and many other ways in which to generate composite indices from keys and contexts, while maintaining the spirit and scope thereof. For example, one method would be to use character strings to represent both keys and contexts, concatenating the keys and contexts, but separating the strings representing keys and contexts by a delimiter indicating the end of the key. In FIG. 3, the symbol "%" designates such a delimiter.

10 The cache 104 may optionally maintain keys associated with specific contexts as depicted in FIG. 4, which is a diagram illustrating a method for storing references to cached data organized by context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. The method allows the system 100 to easily determine the objects stored in a specific context. FIG. 4 illustrates the set of keys corresponding to cached objects within a context stored in a list. However, other methods could be used including, but not limited to, hash tables or balanced trees. These and other methods for accomplishing the same are readily determined by one of ordinary skill in the related art, while maintaining the spirit and scope of the invention. It is to be noted that if the cache is maintaining this

information, then the key may be added to an appropriate data structure at step 530.

FIG. 6 is a diagram illustrating a method for accessing cached data, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. This may occur when the client 102 requests data which is stored in the cache 104. To look up an object, both the key and the context are used.

FIG. 7 is a diagram illustrating a method for deleting cached data, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. This may occur when the cache 104 overflows, when the cached data are known to be obsolete, and so forth. The object to be deleted is identified based on both its key and its context. If the cache 104 is maintaining keys associated with specific contexts (e.g., FIG. 4), the key also may be deleted from the data structure corresponding to the context.

FIG. 8 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for deleting cached objects corresponding to a specific context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

In some cases, a context may expire, or the cache 104 may decide to delete all objects corresponding to a context because the context is no longer of sufficient importance (step 810). The context manager 110 might notify the cache 104 when a context expires. In either case, the cache 104

deletes one or more objects corresponding to the context
(step 820). A preferred method for doing this is for the
cache 104 to maintain a data structure containing keys
corresponding to objects cached in the context (e.g., FIG.
5 4). The objects to be deleted are then identified from this
data structure. After all such objects are identified from
the data structure, the data structure can be deleted. For
example, suppose that in FIG. 4, context C1 expires. The
system 100 determines from the data structure that the C1
10 versions of key1, key2, and key7 can be deleted.

FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating a method for caching
data inherited from an enclosing context, according to an
illustrative embodiment of the invention. As shown, in some
cases, a context C1 may inherit values from a surrounding
15 context (step 910). When this happens, cached values from
the surrounding context are copied to context C1.

It is often desirable to share cached information
between contexts. If the value for an object is cached in
one context, it would be desirable to propagate the value to
20 other contexts which share the same value for the object.

FIG. 12 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for
propagating cached information from one context to another,
according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention.
It is applicable when cached objects are complex objects

with dependencies on underlying data. In such situations, such dependencies can be represented by one or more object dependence graphs (ODG).

FIG. 10 is a diagram illustrating an object dependence graph, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. In FIG. 10, O1 and O2 are objects. O1 has dependencies on ud1 and ud2. That is, if ud1 or ud2 change, then the value of O1 might also change. The edges in the object dependency graph from ud1 and ud2 to O1 reflect these dependencies. The edge from ud4 to ud2 indicates that if ud4 changes, ud2 (and by transitivity O1 and O2) might also be affected.

Complex objects could represent Web pages, while the underlying data could represent parts of databases, such as tables. For a description of such tables, see "A Scaleable System for Consistently Caching Dynamic Web Data", Challenger et al., Proceedings of INFOCOM '99, March 1999. Alternatively, complex objects could represent query results, and underlying data could represent attributes. For a description of such attributes, see "A Middleware System Which Intelligently Caches Query Results", Degenaro et al., Proceedings of Middleware 2000, April 2000. Alternatively, complex objects and/or underlying data could be something else. Methods for constructing object

dependency graphs and performing graph traversal algorithms for determining how changes to underlying data affect cached objects are described in the previously mentioned articles.

Returning to FIG. 12, the system 100 maintains
5 dependencies between complex objects and underlying data (step 1210). Preferred methods for doing so are described in the previously mentioned articles. Of course, the invention is not limited to those methods and, thus, other methods for maintaining dependencies between complex objects
10 and underlying data may be used while maintaining the spirit and scope of the invention.

A complex object O5 is cached within a context C1 (step 1230). The value of O5 is propagated to other contexts in which O5 has the same dependencies as in C1 (step 1240).
15 For example, in FIG. 11, which is a diagram illustrating dependencies of an object in different contexts according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention, O5 has dependencies on ud1 and ud2. The value of O5 could thus be propagated to context C3. However, the value of O5 should
20 not be propagated to context C2 for which O5 has dependencies on ud1 and ud3.

FIGs. 13 through 23 depict various aspects of the invention with respect to transactions and concurrency control. A transaction is a series of operations applied to

a set of objects in a manner that transforms the system from one consistent state to another consistent state.

Concurrency control is the mechanism by which multiple transactions are allowed to execute concurrently in a manner that guarantees consistency. Such mechanisms guarantee consistent state transformations by validating that, given a series of concurrently executing transactions, there exists a serial-equivalent ordering of those transactions that produces the same state transformation. If no such serial-equivalent ordering can be validated, some action is taken to ensure that a serial-ordering exists. The most commonly used concurrency control mechanisms are classified as either pessimistic or optimistic. These mechanisms alter the execution ordering of concurrently executing transactions, either by delaying transactions or by aborting transactions, such that a serial-equivalent ordering can be validated.

Pessimistic concurrency control refers to mechanisms using object-locking to enforce a serial-equivalent ordering. Most such mechanisms use two-phase locking, where a transaction must acquire a read-lock prior to reading an object and a write-lock prior to writing an object, but must not acquire additional locks after releasing any lock. When a transaction attempts to acquire

a lock on an object, the transaction must wait if a different transaction already holds a conflicting lock on that object. Conflicting locks are as follows: read-locks conflict with write-locks; and write-locks conflict with write-locks. Two-phase locking is further described in the following article by Gray et al., entitled "Transaction Processing: Concepts and Techniques", Morgan Kaufmann, pub., 1993.

Optimistic concurrency control refers to mechanisms that do not use locking to enforce serial-equivalent ordering. Rather, transactions are allowed to execute to completion in the "hope" that a serial-equivalent ordering exists. Each transaction is given its own view of the objects that have been accessed by the transaction. A transaction operates on its view rather than the shared state of the system. When a transaction attempts to commit, the concurrency control attempts to validate that, should the transaction commit, a serial-equivalent ordering exists. If such a ordering can be validated, the transaction is allowed to commit; otherwise, it is aborted. Various techniques have been employed to validate serial-equivalence for optimistic mechanisms, including the use of time-stamps and the intersection of read/write sets. Optimistic concurrency control is further described in the following

article by Kung et al., entitled "On Optimistic Methods for
Concurrency Control", ACM Transactions on Database Systems,
Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 213-26, June 1981.

FIG. 13 is a block diagram illustrating a system 1300
5 for caching across multiple contexts according to an
illustrative embodiment of the invention, wherein contexts
may represent transactional contexts and cached objects may
represent query results. However, given the teachings of
the invention provided herein, it is to be appreciated that
10 the invention could be applied to other types of contexts
and other types of cached objects, while maintaining the
spirit and scope of the invention.

The system 1300 includes a transactional client(s) 1302
(hereinafter "transactional client"), a transactional cache
15 1304, a server 1306, a dependency manager 1308, and a
transaction manager 1310.

The transactional client 1302 issues a query on the
server 1306. Issuing a query might involve, for example,
transmitting a query specification, parsing the query
20 specification on the server 1306, evaluating the query
specification on the server 1306, and returning the results
of the query from the server 1306 to the client 1302. To
reduce the cost of such queries, results of previously
evaluated queries may be stored within the cache 1304. The

transaction manager 1310 creates and manages multiple transactional contexts. Each client interaction with the server 1306 takes place within a particular transactional context. The dependency manager 1308 manages dependencies
5 between data and cached query results such that changes to data will cause dependent query results to be invalidated, thereby causing updating in or removal from the cache 1304. The visibility of data and cached query results to a particular client executing within a particular
10 transactional context is governed by the concurrency control employed by the server 1306.

FIGS. 14 through 18 depict various aspects of the invention with respect to FIG. 13 and optimistic concurrency control. To support optimistic concurrency control in the
15 cache 1304, a system in accordance with the present invention might manage the cache as follows. Each cached query result is valid with respect to zero or more transactional contexts, and possibly represents a query of committed data; cached query results representing queries of
20 committed data are called "committed results". If a query result is valid with respect to a transactional context, we say that the query result is "visible" to that transactional context. When a transactional context, T, is first created, all committed results are visible to T. Queries issued

within transactional context T will resolve to a cached query result if such a result is visible to T. If no cached query result is visible to T, then the query is issued to the server, and the query result is cached such that it is visible only to T; such a query result is considered a "pending" result. Changes to data within transactional context T on which query results visible to T depend will invalidate those query results with respect to T. That is, if a query result invalidated by T is visible to transactions other than T, the query result will remain valid in and visible to those other transactions, but will no longer be valid in or visible to T. Should T commit successfully, the effects of T, including any invalidations, must be reflected in the cache. To this end, all invalidation events that occur within T are recorded. When T commits successfully, these invalidation events are replayed in the context of the committed results. Any committed results affected by the replay are invalidated. If any such invalidated query has been accessed within an active transactional context, the corresponding transaction is aborted (or marked as rollback only). Any pending cached query results associated with T are then "promoted" to committed results.

FIG. 14 is a block diagram illustrating a cache where query results are cached with respect to transactional contexts and optimistic concurrency, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. A result map 1406 maps result keys 1414 to zero or more query results 1416. Each of the query results 1416 is uniquely identified by one of the result keys 1414. A result key is a (query-spec, tid) pair, where a "query-spec" is a query specification as issued to the server 1306 and a "tid" is a unique transaction context identifier representing the transaction context in which the query was issued. A query result can be either valid or invalid, depending on the value of the query result. If a query result is valid, then the value is the result of the query issued to the server 1306. If a query result is invalid, this result will be null.

The committed results map 1402 maps query specifications to zero or more cached query results 1416 representing the results of queries of committed data. The map might, for example, be implemented as a hash-table using the query specification as the key. Cached query results associated in committed results map 1402 are called "committed results". Committed results are always valid; if a committed result becomes invalid, it is removed from the committed result map. Each committed result is associated

with zero or more cache contexts 1404, indicating which contexts have accessed that committed result. This association might, for example, be implemented as a linked-list. When a committed result is accessed within transaction context T, that query result becomes associated with T's cache context 1404.

Zero or more cache contexts 1404 represent the transactional contexts in which the cache 1304 is accessed. Each cache context 1404 comprises a pending results set 1410 and a pending events set 1412. The pending results set 1410 represents the set of zero or more cached query results obtained or invalidated within a particular transactional context. The set might, for example, be implemented as a linked list. The pending events set 1412 represents the set of events that occurred within some transactional context, T, that might affect committed results should T commit. The set might, for example, be implemented as a linked list.

A context map 1408 maps transaction context identifiers, tids, to zero or more cache contexts 1404. The map might, for example, be implemented as a hash table, using the transaction identifier, tid, as the key. This map is used to locate the cache context 1404 associated with a given transaction context.

FIG. 15 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for determining the cache context 1404 associated with a particular transactional context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. The cache 1304 is accessed within a particular transaction context, T (step 1510). This might arise when a transactional client 1302 issues a query, or when a transactional client causes data changes on the server 1306 such that the dependency manager 1308 attempts to invalidate a query result.

The cache context 1404 associated with T is located (step 1520). This is done using the context map 1408. A mapping for such a context may or may not exist within the context map 1408.

It is then determined whether a cache context 1404 associated with T was found (step 1530).

If no cache context 1404 associated with T is found, indicating that the cache 1304 is being accessed for the first time within context T, then a new cache context 1404 is created for T (step 1540). The newly created cache context contains an empty pending results set 1410 and an empty pending events set 1412.

FIG. 16 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for caching the results of queries with respect to transactions and optimistic concurrency control, according to an

illustrative embodiment of the invention. That is, FIG. 16 illustrates a method for accessing a cached query result for a query issued by a transactional client within a particular transaction context.

5 The transactional client 1302 issues a query within transactional context T (step 1610). It is determined whether or not there is a query result for this query visible within context T (step 1620). To determine whether or not a cached query result is visible within context T,
10 result map 1406 is used to locate a query result 1416 with result key 1414 given by the pair (query-spec, tid), where query-spec is the query and tid is T's tid. If a result is found, then that result is visible to T. If a result is not found, then the committed results map 1402 is examined. If
15 a result for the query exists in the committed result map, then that result is visible to T. Otherwise, no cached query result for the query is visible to T.

 If there is no query result for the query that is visible to T, then the query is issued to the server (step
20 1622). A new cached query result 1416 is created with a value representing the result of the query issued to the server 1306 (step 1624). The new query result is uniquely identified by a result key 1414 given by the pair

(query-spec,tid), where query-spec is the query issued to the server 1306 and tid is T's tid.

The new query result is added to result map 1406 (step 1626). The new query result is added to T's pending results set 1410 (step 1628).

If, at step 1620, it is determined that there is a cached query result visible with the context T, then it is determined whether or not that query result is valid (step 1630). A cached query result 1416 is valid if the value of the cached query result 1416 is not null. If the query result is not valid, then the query is issued to the server 1306 (step 1632). The value of the cached query result 1416 is updated to represent the result of the query issued to the server 1306 (step 1634). Note that committed results are never invalid. Thus, an invalid query result visible to T must be a pending query result associated with T, and can therefore be updated without affecting other transactions.

If the query result is valid (as determined at step 1630), then it is determined whether or not that query result is a committed result (step 1640). A query result is a committed result if the tid component of its associated result key 1414 is not the same as T's tid.

If the query result is a committed result, then the result is marked as being "accessed by T" (step 1642) and

the method proceeds to step 1650. Otherwise, the method simply proceeds to step 1650. At step 1650, the value of the query result is returned to the client 1302 (step 1650), and the method is terminated.

5 FIG. 17 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for invalidating query results with respect to transactions and optimistic concurrency control, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. That is, FIG. 17 illustrates a method for invalidating transactionally cached query results
10 due to changes on server 1306 within the context of some transaction T.

 It is determined that an invalidation event has occurred in transactional context T (step 1710). This determination can be made by notification from the
15 dependency manager 1308. As mentioned above, the dependency manager 1308 manages dependencies between query results and data stored on the server 1306. The dependency manager 1308 detects when a transactional client 1302 executing in some transactional context T updates data on which a query result
20 may be dependent.

 The invalidation event is added to T's pending events set 1412 (step 1720). The cached query results associated with T and affected by the event, if any, are identified

(step 1730). Such identifications can be made using the dependency manager 1308.

The cached query results affected by the event are invalidated (step 1740). For each such affected query
5 result, QR, with result key, RK, invalidation occurs as follows. If query result QR is a committed result, then a new query result QR_{NEW} is created with a null value (or a valid value) and a result key RK_{NEW} such that the query-spec component of RK_{NEW} is the same as the query-spec component of
10 RK and the tid component of RK_{NEW} is T's tid; QR_{NEW} is added to result map 1406. If the query result QR is not a committed result, then the value of the query result QR is set to null or updated such that the value is no longer invalid.

15 FIG. 18 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for promoting pending cached query results to committed results and for maintaining dependencies between committed results and underlying data when a transactional context T successfully commits, according to an illustrative
20 embodiment of the invention.

It is determined that some transactional context T has successfully committed on the server 1306 (step 1810). This determination can be made by notification from the transaction manager 1310.

The committed results affected by T committing, if any, are identified (step 1820). This is done using the dependency manager 1308 and T's pending events set 1412.

5 The transactions that have accessed any of the affected query results (determined at step 1820) are identified (step 1830). The transactions identified at step 1830 are marked as "rollback only" such that the only possible outcome of these transactions will be a rollback (step 1840). The affected cached query results (determined at step 1820) are
10 invalidated (step 1850). When a committed result is invalidated, that result is removed from the result map 1406 and discarded.

Valid query results obtained within context T are promoted to committed results (step 1860). This is done as
15 follows. For each valid query result in T's pending results set 1410, that query result is added to committed results map 1402. Any invalid query result in T's pending result set is discarded. T's cache context and associated pending results set 1410 and pending events set 1412 are discarded.
20 Any association between a committed result and T's context is removed.

FIG. 19 is a flow diagram illustrating a method for maintaining dependencies between objects and underlying data when the effects of one context are realized within another

context, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. The events that occur within some context, C, that may affect the dependencies between objects and underlying data within other contexts, are recorded (step 5 1910). An event might be, for example, a change to underlying data that affects a dependency; such an event might trigger a cached object to be removed or modified.

Changes performed within context C are realized in zero or more other contexts (step 1920). For example, if context 10 C is a transactional context, then, when C commits, the changes performed within context C might be visible to other transactional contexts.

The events recorded in context C are replayed in zero or more other contexts (step 1930). An event might, for 15 example, represent a change to underlying data that affects a dependency; replaying such an event might trigger a cached object to be removed or modified.

FIGs. 20-23 depict various aspects of the context manager 110 of Fig. 1 with respect to a pessimistic 20 transactional model. In a pessimistic transactional model, concurrent transactions are permitted within the scope of a context manager to the extent that operations occurring in one concurrent transaction have no effect on any other concurrent transaction. That is, it is as if each of the

concurrent transactions were run serially with respect to each other. For example, if a concurrent transaction Tx1 performs a write operation prior to a concurrent transaction Tx2 performing a read (or write) operation on the same data, then Tx2 must wait until Tx1 either commits or rollsback.

Described below are various aspects of the invention pertaining to the caching of entities in a pessimistic transactional environment.

FIGS. 20-23 depict methods for managing a cache of entities, which might be complex entities such as, for example, query results, composed Web pages, or some other manageable content, under the auspices of the pessimistic transactional model. Referring back to the system 100 of FIG. 1, the client 102 obtains entities through the cache 104 from the data source manager 106 by providing some inquiry to which a response is generated and returned under the direction of a pessimistic transaction manager 110 (i.e., the context manager 100 of FIG. 1). Dependencies are managed by the pessimistic transaction manager 110, a data source manager 106 (i.e., the server 106 of Fig. 1), or by a separate dependency manager (similar to the dependency manager 1308 of Fig. 13). One of the many benefits of the invention is that latency is reduced by utilizing cached entities as opposed to non-cached entities; it should also

be noted that integrity is maintained, as is required by the pessimistic transactional model.

FIG. 20 is a diagram illustrating a method for retrieving zero or more entities (i.e., query results) from a cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

According to the model, it is determined whether the current query can be satisfied by a "valid" query result (step 2001) presently residing in the cache 104. If not, then the query result is obtained from an underlying data source(s) (step 2005). The query result is placed into the cache 104, the query result is marked as "valid", and the query result is also marked as "exclusive" to the present transaction (step 2006).

The placing of the query result in the cache 104 is done by utilizing the query request as the key (Fig. 2, key), the transaction identifier as the context (Fig. 2, C_n), and the query result as the value (Fig. 2, V_n); or alternatively by utilizing the combined query request and the transaction identifier as the compound key (Fig. 3, key% C_n) and the query result as the value (Fig. 3, V_n).

To determine whether a query can be satisfied by a query result residing in the cache, the query request and the transaction identifier are used together (as per Fig. 2

or Fig. 3) to locate the query result in the cache. If the query result is found in the cache, then the query result is examined to determine if it is marked "valid". If so, then the query result is considered resident in cache.

5 It is then determined whether any dependencies for the query at hand have been triggered by the present transaction (step 2008). This determination can be made in one of three illustrative and basic ways. However, one of ordinary skill in the related art will readily contemplate these and
10 various other ways for making the determination, while maintaining the spirit and scope of the invention. The first way is to always presume that one or more dependencies have been triggered by the present transaction without any record keeping. The second way is to keep track of whether
15 at least one invalidation has been triggered by the present transaction. The third way is to keep track of all invalidations triggered by the present transaction and determine whether any of those already triggered
20 invalidations pertain to the query at hand. If it is determined (at step 2008) that a dependency relevant to the query at hand has been triggered by the present transaction, then the cached query result is marked "not reusable" (step 2010) and the method proceeds to step 2011. Otherwise, the cached query result is marked "reusable" (step 2009) and the

method proceeds to step 2011. At step 2011, a query result corresponding to the query at hand is returned from the cache, and the method is terminated.

If it is determined at step 2001 that the current query
5 can be satisfied from the cache because a "valid"
query-keyed value (e.g., cached query result) exists in the
cache, then it is determined whether the query-keyed value
is marked "exclusive" by the present transaction (step
2002). If so, then the method proceeds to step 2011.

10 Otherwise, it is determined whether the query-keyed value is
marked either "shared" or "available" (step 2003). If the
query-keyed value is not currently marked either "shared" or
"available" , then a status change is waited for (step
2004), and the method returns to step 2001 to recheck for a
15 "valid" cached query result. Otherwise, the query-keyed
value is marked as "shared" by the present transaction,
where more than one transaction may concurrently be
identified as such (step 2007) and the method proceeds to
step 2011.

20 FIG. 21 is a flow diagram illustrating part of a method
for invalidating zero or more entities (query results) in a
cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the
invention. The set of query results to which the currently

triggered invalidation pertains, which resides in the cache 104, is located (step 2101).

For example, presume that within a given context a cached query result QR_1 was produced by query Q_1 : "Car.color == red", where Car is an object, color is an attribute of the Car object, and "red" is the value of the color attribute of a Car object. Subsequently, a set attribute operation either changed the color attribute of a currently "red" Car object to some other color or a non-"red" Car object to "red". Then, an invalidation is triggered for at least QR_1 , since QR_1 has a dependency on the color attribute of Car objects.

The produced cached query results set is examined to determine if any of the query results are not marked as either "exclusive" or "pending" (step 2102). If so, then the cached query results in the produced set which are "available" are marked as "pending" (step 2103). A relevant status change occurrence is waited for one or more of the query results in the produced query results set (step 2104), e.g., a status change from "shared" to "available". Then, the method returns to step 2101 to relocate the set of cached query results dependent upon the present invalidation.

However, if at step 2102, any of the query results in the produced cached query results set are determined to be marked as either "exclusive" or "pending", then those query results are marked "exclusive" and "invalid" (step 2105).

5 The triggered dependencies are remembered (step 2106).

Keeping track of the triggered dependencies can be done in one of several ways as discussed above with respect to Fig. 20. The method is then terminated.

FIG. 22 is a block diagram illustrating a method for committing zero or more entities (query results) in a cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention.

The "reusable" or "not reusable" status is cleared for each query result entry marked "exclusive" in the cache 104 to which the currently committing transaction pertains (step 2201). The status "exclusive" is changed to "available" for each query result entry in the cache 104 to which the currently committing transaction pertains (step 2202). The committing transaction is unmarked from each "shared" query result entry in the cache to which the committing transaction has been associated, and if no other transactions have a "shared" interest, then the query result entry in the cache is marked as "available" (step 2203). Any previously remembered triggered dependencies with

respect to the committing transaction (Fig 21, step 2106) are unremembered (step 2203).

FIG. 23 is a block diagram illustrating a method for rolling back one or more entities (query results) in a cache, according to an illustrative embodiment of the invention. The status "invalid" is changed to "valid" for each query result entry marked "exclusive" in the cache 104 to which the currently committing transaction pertains (step 2301). Each query result entry marked "exclusive" and "not reusable" in the cache 104 to which the currently committing transaction pertains is marked as "invalid" (step 2302). The "reusable" or "not reusable" status is cleared for each query result entry marked "exclusive" in the cache 104 to which the currently committing transaction pertains (step 2303). The status "exclusive" is changed to "available" for each query result entry in the cache 104 to which the currently committing transaction pertains (step 2304). The present transaction is unmarked from each "shared" query result entry in the cache 104 to which the transaction has been associated, and if no other transactions have a "shared" interest, then the query result entry in the cache 104 is also marked as "available" (step 2305). Any triggered dependencies previously remembered with respect to

the present transaction (Fig 21, step 2106) are unremembered (step 2306).

Although the illustrative embodiments have been described herein with reference to the accompanying drawings, it is to be understood that the present invention is not limited to those precise embodiments, and that various other changes and modifications may be affected therein by one of ordinary skill in the related art without departing from the scope or spirit of the invention. All such changes and modifications are intended to be included within the scope of the invention as defined by the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. In a system comprised of a plurality of objects and at least one cache, wherein each object has a key associated therewith and is capable of having different values for at least two of a plurality of different contexts, a method for caching at least some of the plurality of objects, comprising the steps of

maintaining a cache directory structure in which at least two different values are capable of being associated with at least one of the plurality of objects, each of the at least two different values corresponding to a different context;

identifying an object to be cached from among the plurality of objects and a context from among the plurality of different contexts; and

storing the identified object in the at least one cache based upon the key associated therewith and the identified context.

2. The method according to claim 1, wherein the at least one cache maintains at least a portion of a data structure for storing multiple versions of the identified object and said storing step further comprises the step of

identifying the at least a portion of the data structure from the key associated with the identified object.

3. The method according to claim 1, wherein said storing step further comprises the steps of:

5 generating a composite index from the key associated with the identified object and the identified context; and
 storing the identified object based upon the composite index.

10 4. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of looking up a given object from among the plurality of objects based upon a key associated with the given object and one of the plurality of different contexts.

15 5. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of deleting a cached object based upon a key associated with the cached object and one of the plurality of different contexts.

6. The method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of:

maintaining a data structure that includes at least one key associated with at least one given object from among the plurality of objects, the at least one given object being cached within one of the plurality of different contexts;
5 and

deleting the at least given object by identifying the at least one object in the data structure based upon the at least one key associated therewith.

7. The method according to claim 1, further
10 comprising the step of copying at least one given object from among the plurality of objects that is cached under one of the plurality of contexts to another one of the plurality of contexts.

8. The method according to claim 1, wherein the
15 plurality of different contexts represent transactional contexts.

9. The method according to claim 1, wherein cached objects represent query results.

10. The method according to claim 1, wherein said
20 method is implemented by a program storage device readable

by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform said method steps.

11. In a system comprised of at least one object capable of having a plurality of values within a plurality
5 of different contexts, a method for propagating at least one of the plurality of values among the plurality of different contexts, said method comprising the steps of:

maintaining at least one dependency between the at least one object and underlying data;

10 identifying the at least one of the plurality of values for the at least one object within a context from among the plurality of contexts; and

propagating the at least one of the plurality of values to at least one other context from among the plurality of
15 contexts, wherein the at least one object has identical dependencies in the context and the at least one other context.

12. The method according to claim 11, wherein the underlying data is dependent underlying data, and at least
20 some of the plurality of values are derived from the dependent underlying data.

13. The method according to claim 11, wherein the underlying data is dependent underlying data, and at least some of the plurality of values are query results derived from the dependent underlying data.

5 14. The method according to claim 11, wherein the plurality of different contexts are transactional contexts.

15. The method according to claim 11, wherein cached objects are query results and the plurality of different contexts are transactional contexts.

10 16. The method according to claim 11, wherein said method is implemented by a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform said method steps.

15 17. In a system comprised of at least one object capable of having a plurality of values within a plurality of different contexts, wherein a value from among the plurality of values of the at least one object depends on underlying data, a method for maintaining dependencies between the at least one object and the underlying data when
20 effects from a context among the plurality of contexts are

realized within at least one other context among the plurality of contexts, said method comprising the steps of:

recording at least one event that occurs with the context, wherein the at least one event is capable of affecting dependencies between the at least one object and the underlying data within the at least one other context;

realizing, within the at least one other context, changes to the underlying data performed under the context; and

replaying, within the at least one other context, the at least one event recorded within the context.

18. The method according to claim 17, wherein the plurality of contexts are transactional contexts and said realizing step comprises the step of committing the context as a transactional context.

19. The method according to claim 17, wherein said method is implemented by a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform said method steps.

20. A computer system, comprising:

at least one client;

at least one server;

a cache adapted to allow multiple copies of a same

5 object to be cached under different contexts; and

a context manager adapted to manage the different
contexts.

21. The system according to claim 20, wherein said
context manager is a transaction manager.

10 22. The system according to claim 20, wherein said
context manager is a transaction manager adapted to support
lock-based, pessimistic concurrency control.

23. The system according to claim 20, wherein said
context manager is a transaction manager adapted to support
15 optimistic concurrency control.

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CACHING ACROSS MULTIPLE CONTEXTS

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

In a system that includes a plurality of objects and at least one cache, wherein each object has a key associated therewith and is capable of having different values for at least two of a plurality of different contexts, a method for caching at least some of the plurality of objects is provided. The method includes the step of maintaining a cache directory structure in which at least two different values are capable of being associated with at least one of the plurality of objects, each of the at least two different values corresponding to a different context. An object to be cached is identified from among the plurality of objects and also a context from among the plurality of different contexts. The identified object is stored in the at least one cache based upon the key associated therewith and the identified context.

1/17
DEGENARO ET AL.
YOR 9-2000-0616 US1 (bms) (8728-425)




FIG. 1

2/17
y0R9-2000-0616451 (8728-425)




FIG. 2

3/17
YORQ-2000-0616US1(8728-425)

< Key%C1, V1>

< Key%C2, V2>

< Key%C3, V3>

FIG. 3




FIG. 4

4/17
YOR9-2800-0616451 (8728-425)

Decision made to cache
object
510



Determine context if
unknown
520



Cache object
530

FIG. 5

Look up object based on
key, context
610

FIG. 6

Select object based on
key, context
710

FIG. 7

Context expires
810



Delete cached object(s)
from context
820

FIG. 8

Inherit value(s) from
surrounding context(s)
910

FIG. 9

5/17
YOR9-2000-0616451 (8728-425)






FIG. 10

Context C1



Context C2



Context C3




FIG. 11

6/17

YOK9-2570-0616451(8728-425)




FIG. 12

7/17
YOR9-2000-0616 USA (8728-425)




FIG. 13

8/17
YOR9-2000-0616 USI (8728-425)




FIG. 14

9/17
York-2000-0616451(8728-425)




FIG. 15

10/17
YOR9-2000-0616451(8728-425)




FIG. 16

11/17
York-2003-0616 US1 (8728-425)




FIG. 17

12/17
YORQ-2000-0616 US1 (8728-425)




FIG. 18

13/17

YOR9-2000-0616451 (8728-425)




FIG. 19

14/17
YOR9-2010-0616 U61 (8728-425)




FIG. 20

15/17
YOR9-2000-0616US1 (8728-425)




FIG. 21

16/17
y029-2000-0616451(8428-425)




FIG. 22

17/17
YOR 9-2000-0616 US1 (8728-425)




FIG. 23

AS A BELOW NAMED INVENTOR, I hereby declare that:
My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated next to my name.
I believe that I am the original, first and sole (*if only one name is listed below*), or an original, first and joint inventor (*if plural names are listed below*), of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:
TITLE: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CACHING ACROSS MULTIPLE CONTEXTS

the specification of which either is attached hereto or indicates an attorney docket no. YOR9-2000-0616US1 (8728-425), or:

☐ was filed in the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office on _____ and assigned Serial No. _____,
☐ and (*if applicable*) was amended on _____,

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability and to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations §1.56. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, U.S. Code §119(a)-(d) or §365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or §365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below any foreign applications for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

			Priority Claimed:	
			Yes []	No []
(Application Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year filed)		
			Yes []	No []
(Application Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year filed)		


I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, U.S. Code, §120 of any United States application(s), or §119(e) of any United States provisional application(s), or §365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application(s) in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, U.S. Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability as defined in Title 37, The Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:


(Application Serial Number)	(Filing Date)	(STATUS: patented, pending, abandoned)
(Application Serial Number)	(Filing Date)	(STATUS: patented, pending, abandoned)


I hereby appoint the following attorneys: **MANNY W. SCHECTER**, Reg. No. 31,722; **LAUREN C. BRUZZONE**, Reg. 35,082; **CHRISTOPHER A. HUGHES**, Reg. No. 26,914; **EDWARD A. PENNINGTON**, Reg. No. 32,588; **JOHN E. HOEL**, Reg. No. 26,279; **JOSEPH C. REDMOND, Jr.**, Reg. No. 18,753; and **PAUL J. OTTERSTEDT**, Reg. No. 37,411; **DOUGLAS W. CAMERON**, Reg. No. 31,596; **WAYNE L. ELLENBOGEN**, Reg. No. 43,602; **STEPHEN C. KAUFMAN**, Reg. No. 29,551; **DANIEL P. MORRIS**, Reg. No. 32,053; **LOUIS J. PERCELLO**, Reg. No. 33,206; **MARIAN UNDERWEISER**, Reg. No. 46,134; **ROBERT M. TREPP**, Reg. No. 25,933; **DAVID M. SHOFL**, Reg. No. 39,835; **LOUIS P. HERZBERG**, Reg. No. 41,500; **RICHARD M. LUDWIN**, Reg. No. 33,010; **MARC A. EHRLICH**, Reg. No. 39,966; each of them of **INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION**, Thomas J. Watson Research Center, P.O. Box 218, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598; to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and with any divisional, continuation, continuation-in-part, reissue or re-examination application, with full power of appointment and with full power to substitute an associate attorney or agent, and to receive all patents which may issue thereon, and request that all correspondence be addressed to:


Frank Chau, Esq.
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP
1900 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 501
East Meadow, New York 11554
Tel.: 516-357-0091

I HEREBY DECLARE that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under §1001 of Title 18 U.S. Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

FULL NAME OF FIRST OR SOLE INVENTOR: Louis R. Degenaro Citizenship USA
Inventor's signature:  Date: 11/8/2000
Residence: White Plains, NY 10605
Post Office Address: 13 Hewitt Avenue, White Plains, NY 10605

FULL NAME OF SECOND JOINT INVENTOR: Arun K. Iyengar Citizenship USA
Inventor's signature:  Date: 11/08/2000
Residence: Yorktown Heights, NY 10598
Post Office Address: 1160 Park Lane, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598

FULL NAME OF THIRD JOINT INVENTOR: Thomas A. Mikalsen Citizenship USA
Inventor's signature:  Date: 11/8/2000
Residence: Carmel, NY 10512
Post Office Address: 20 Knollcrest Road, Carmel, NY 10512

FULL NAME OF FOURTH JOINT INVENTOR: Isabelle M. Rouvellou Citizenship France
Inventor's signature:  Date: 11/08/2000
Residence: New York, NY 10025
Post Office Address: 225 West 106th Street, Apt. #8F, New York, NY 10025

FULL NAME OF FIFTH JOINT INVENTOR: _____ Citizenship _____
Inventor's signature: _____ Date: _____
Residence: _____
Post Office Address: _____

FULL NAME OF SIXTH JOINT INVENTOR: _____ Citizenship _____
Inventor's signature: _____ Date: _____
Residence: _____
Post Office Address: _____

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT(S): Louis R. Degenaro, Arun K. Iyengar, Thomas A. Mikalsen,
Isabelle M. Rouvellou

SERIAL NO.: Unassigned

FILED: Concurrently herewith

FOR: **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR CACHING ACROSS
MULTIPLE CONTEXTS**


ASSOCIATE POWER OF ATTORNEY

Please recognize **FRANK CHAU**, Reg. No. 34,136; **JAMES J. BITETTO**, Reg. No. 40,513; **FRANK V. DeROSA**, Reg. No. 43,584; **GASPARE J. RANDAZZO**, Reg. No. 41,528; and **SUSAN PAIK**, Reg. No. 46,347; each of them of **F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLP**, 1900 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 501, East Meadow, New York 11554 as associate attorneys in the above-mentioned application, with full power to prosecute said application, to make alterations and amendments therein, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Telephone calls should be made to Frank Chau by dialing (516) 357-0091.

All written communications are to be sent to **Frank Chau, Esq.**, **F. Chau & Associates, LLP**, 1900 Hempstead Turnpike, Suite 501, East Meadow, New York 11554.

International Business Machines
Corporation
T.J. Watson Research Center
Route 134 and Kitchawan Road
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598


Manny W. Schecter
Registration No. 31,722
David M. Shofi
Registration No 39,835
Attorney for Applicant(s)